

Appl. No. 10/769,665  
Amdt Dated Aug. 3, 2005  
Reply to Office Action May 3, 2005

### REMARKS

First, applicant highly appreciates the Examiner's careful review of the application and allowance of claims 5-13. Applicant has automatically amended claim 12 for correcting a typo thereof.

With respect to the form 1449 listed on the transmittal letter of 29 January 2004, applicant has now supplied a replacement copy of the form 1449.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee et al (US 6,520,250). Claims 1-4 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clemens (US 6,118,657).

In response, applicant has cancelled the claims 1-4.

Since applicant has cancelled claims 1-4, the rejections to claims 1-4 should be accordingly removed.

In view of the foregoing, the subject application as claimed in the pending claims is in a condition for allowance and an action to such effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Lee et al.

By

  
Wei Te Chung